Age and gender interactions in ultraendurance performance: insight from the triathlon.

Romuald Lepers, Na Maffiuletti

▶ To cite this version:

Romuald Lepers, Na Maffiuletti. Age and gender interactions in ultraendurance performance: insight from the triathlon.. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM), 2011, 43 (1), pp.134-9. <10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e57997>. <hal-00702352>

Age and gender interactions in ultra-endurance performance: insight from triathlon

Lepers R[1] & Maffiuletti NA[2]

[1] INSERM U887, University of Burgundy, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Dijon, FRANCE

[2] Neuromuscular Research Laboratory, Schulthess Clinic, Zurich, SWITZERLAND

Running title: Age and gender in ultra-endurance performance

Corresponding author:

Romuald Lepers

Université de Bourgogne

INSERM U 887

BP 27877

21078 Dijon cedex

France

Tel : +33.3.80.39.67.60

Fax : +33.3.80.39.67.02

Email : romuald.lepers@u-bourgogne.fr

ABSTRACT

Purpose: i) to investigate the effect of age on gender difference in Hawaii Ironman triathlon performance time, and ii) to compare the gender difference between swimming (3.8 km), cycling (180 km), and running (42 km) performances as a function of age. **Methods:** Gender difference in performance times and estimated power output in the three modes of locomotion were analysed for top 10 men and women amateur triathletes between 18 and 64 yrs of age for three consecutive years (2006 to 2008). **Results:** The gender difference in total performance time was stable until 55 yrs of age and then significantly increased. Mean gender difference in performance time was significantly ($P < 0.01$) smaller for swimming (mean $\pm$ CI 95%: 12.1 $\pm$ 1.9%) compared to cycling (15.4 $\pm$ 0.7%) and running (18.2 $\pm$ 1.3%). In contrast, mean gender difference in cycling estimated power output (38.6 $\pm$ 1.1 %) was significantly ($P < 0.01$) greater compared to swimming (27.5 $\pm$ 3.8 %) and running (32.6 $\pm$ 0.7 %). **Conclusion:** This cross-sectional study evidenced that gender difference in ultra-endurance performance such as an Ironman triathlon was stable until 55 yrs of age and then increased thereafter and differed between the locomotion modes. Further studies examining the changes in training volume and physiological characteristics with advanced age for men and women are required to better understand the age-associated changes in ultra-endurance performance.

Key Words : Swimming, Cycling, Running, Ultra-endurance exercise, Gender difference, Aging.

INTRODUCTION

Paragraph 1. The gender difference in endurance (events lasting less than 2-3 hours) and ultra-endurance (events lasting more than 3 hours) performance has attracted considerable attention over the last 30 yrs. The majority of studies on gender difference have focused on running performance (e.g. 3, 4, 6, 7, 16, 25), but less have analysed the gender difference in swimming (27, 29), cycling (23) or triathlon performance (14, 28). The ~10-15% sex gaps in endurance performance appear to be biological in origin; as men possess a greater aerobic capacity and muscular strength, the gap in endurance performances between men and women is unlikely to narrow naturally (4).

Paragraph 2. Knowing that the physiological (e.g. muscle strength, oxygen carrying capacity) and morphological (e.g. percentage of body fat, muscle mass) functional characteristics change with advancing age, gender difference in endurance performance may also change with advancing age. Concerning the gender-specific differences in loss of muscle mass with age (sarcopenia), some studies have shown that elderly women may lose it more rapidly than do their male counterparts (20, 22); even it is not a general finding. Few studies have however, investigated the combined interaction of age and gender on endurance performance. For example, the increase in 10-km running time with advancing age has been found to be greater in women compared with men (30). Similarly, Tanaka & Seals (29) reported that the rate of decline in swimming performance with age was greater in women than in men. Taken as a whole, these findings suggest the possibility of an increased sex gap in endurance performance with advanced age.

Paragraph 3. Age and gender interaction in endurance performance could also be influenced by the type and duration of the physical task performed. Changes in running performance do not necessarily reflect aging and gender interactions in other types of

endurance activities. In fact, Tanaka & Seal (29) showed that endurance 1500-m swimming performance decreased with age in men and women but the pattern of decline was somewhat different from that observed with long-distance running (10, 30). Indeed, the magnitude of overall reduction in swimming performance with advancing age appeared to be smaller than that observed in running performance. However, these results obtained from different populations limit the interpretation of the above findings. The effect of age on gender difference in endurance and ultra-endurance performances remains to be clarified for the different modes of locomotion (e.g. swimming, cycling and running).

Paragraph 4. In this regard, triathlon involving swimming, cycling, and running, represents an intriguing alternative model to analyse the age and gender interaction in endurance performance because gender difference can be analyzed in the same subjects collectively and also for the three disciplines separately (2, 13, 14). However, it should be pointed out that due to the fatigue accumulated during the previous disciplines, running performance in a triathlon can not be compared with running alone. A previous study conducted on elite triathletes at the Hawaii Ironman triathlon showed that mean gender difference tended to be smaller in swimming compared to cycling and running (14). However, this study focused only on the top 10 men and women overall finishers and did not provide a complete representation of gender difference with age.

Paragraph 5. Accordingly, the aims of the present study were: 1) to examine the effect of age on gender difference in Ironman triathlon total time, and 2) to compare the gender difference as a function of age among swimming, cycling, and running. To achieve these aims, a retrospective analysis of top amateur performance times aged from 18 to 65 yrs was performed at the Hawaii Ironman triathlon, which is the top race in the field of long-distance triathlon (14). We anticipated that gender differences in total time performance

would increase with advancing age and that gender difference in performance time would be lower in swimming compared to cycling and running.

METHODS

Paragraph 6. Approval for the project was obtained from the Burgundy University Committee on Human Research. This study involved the analysis of publicly available data so content was waived. Age and time performances data for all triathletes completing the races were obtained through the Ironman corporation web site: http://ironman.com/events/ironman. The Hawaii Ironman triathlon (3.8 km swim, 180-km cycle, and 42-km run) has been held each year since 1982 in Kailua-Kona (Big Island, Hawaii, USA). The Hawaii Ironman triathlon is the last in a series of nearly 25 Ironman triathlons held in the world that serve as qualifying races. Only best amateur triathletes in their age group and elite triathletes can participate in the final in Hawaii that is considered as the Ironman World Championship for both amateur and elite triathletes.

Paragraph 7. Averaged swimming, cycling, running and overall time performances of the top 10 amateur of nine age groups for women and men, respectively were analyzed for three consecutive Hawaii Ironman races in 2006, 2007 and 2008. We focused our attention on these three years because we felt that it would represent a better insight into current performance. The Ironman age groups (http://ironman.com/events/ironman) distinguish the categories as follows: 18-24 yrs, 25-29 yrs, 30-34 yrs, 35-39 yrs, 40-44 yrs, 45-49 yrs, 50-54 yrs, 55-59 yrs, and 60-64 yrs.

Paragraph 8. The performances of 30 triathletes per age group (i.e. the top 10 of each age group in 2006, 2007 and 2008) were considered. Because one-way ANOVA showed no significant difference for the top 10 of the best performing age group between 2006 (women:

10:14:37 ± 12:50 h:min:s; men: 9:04:01 ± 8:20), 2007 (women: 10:22:10 ± 10:18; men: 9:10:34 ± 6:11), and 2008 (women: 10:17:16 ± 12:56; men: 9:11:57 ± 10:06), data were pooled together.

Paragraph 9. The magnitude of gender difference was examined by calculating the percent difference for the average totals of swimming, cycling, and running times between the top men versus women of each age group. Because of the non-linear relation between speed and power output from air or water resistance (27), percent differences in time do not equate to percent differences in power output. Therefore, we estimated the percent difference in power output between the men and women for each discipline according to Lepers (14). Briefly, for swimming the mechanical power (P) depends on the third power of velocity (V); according to the model proposed by Stefani (27), the swimming power ratio between men (m) and women (w) is:

$$P_w / P_m = 0.91 (V_w / V_m)^3$$

Similarly for cycling, the mechanical power also depends on the third power of velocity; assuming level terrain, no wind, and a negligible contribution of rolling resistance to total power demand, the cycling power ratio between men and women is:

$$P_w / P_m = 0.93 (V_w / V_m)^3$$

For running, the mechanical power depends on the velocity and on the body mass (27); accordingly, running power ratio between men and women is:

$$P_w / P_m = 0.79 (V_w / V_m)$$

Finally, the percentage difference in estimated power between women and men was calculated as 100 x (1- P_w / P_m) for the three disciplines.

Paragraph 10. The percentage difference between the man and woman in swimming, cycling, running and total event performance times and in estimated power outputs for each of

the top 10 places and for each year in each age group was determined. One-way ANOVA was used to compare gender difference in total time across ages. Two-way ANOVAs (age group x mode of locomotion) with repeated measures on locomotion mode were used to compare gender difference in time and power output between swimming, cycling, and running across ages. Tukey's post hoc analyses were used to test differences within the ANOVAs when appropriate. A significance level of $P < 0.05$ was used to identify statistical significance.

RESULTS

Paragraph 11. The swimming, cycling, running and total performance times of the 10 top men and women are presented in Figure 1. Compared to the fastest men's age groups (30-34 or 35-39 yrs), time performance of the slowest men's age group (60-64 yrs) was longer for total event (27%), swimming (30%), cycling (22%), and running (32%). Compared to the fastest women's age groups (25-29 or 30-34 yrs), time performance of the slowest women age group (60-64 yrs) was longer for total event (38%), swimming (43%), cycling (31%), and running (47%). For both men and women, standard deviation (indicating within-group variability) for total event and for the three disciplines increased considerably with advancing age, particularly for women.

Paragraph 12. Figure 2 shows that gender differences in total event time were affected by age (F = 78.8; $P < 0.001$). Gender difference for age groups 55-59 and 60-64 yrs were significantly ($P < 0.01$) greater compared to younger age groups, and gender difference for age group 55-59 yrs was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower than for age group 60-64 yrs.

Paragraph 13. There was no significant age group x locomotion mode interaction for gender difference in performance time (F = 1.02; P = 0.42). Independently of age, gender

differences in time differed between the locomotion modes (F = 16.17; P < 0.001). Gender difference in swimming time was significantly (P < 0.01) lower than for cycling and running (Figure 3A). Gender difference in cycling time was significantly (P < 0.05) lower compared to running. There was no significant age group x locomotion mode interaction for gender difference in estimated power output (F = 0.94; P = 0.52). Independently of the age, gender differences in estimated power output differed between the locomotion modes (F = 20.88; P < 0.001). Gender difference in cycling power output was significantly (P < 0.01) greater than for swimming and running (Figure 3B). Gender difference in swimming power output was significantly (P < 0.01) lower compared to running.

DISCUSSION

Paragraph 14. The main findings of the present study were first that gender differences in Ironman triathlon total performance time were stable until 55 yrs of age after which they significantly increased. Second, gender difference in performance time (swim < cycle < run) and estimated power output (swim < run < cycle) differed between the disciplines (i.e. mode of locomotion) of triathlon.

Paragraph 15. Although it lacked a number of physiological parameters, such as aerobic capacity, body weight, lean body mass, and training volume, this study offers valuable data. Elderly triathletes are able to maintain a high degree of physiological plasticity late into life and represent a fascinating model of exceptionally successful ageing (31). The approach that consists of examining the changes in endurance performance with age in highly trained and competitive athletes represents an effective experimental model because changes observed with advancing age are thought to reflect mainly the results of primary (physiological) aging (30). The nature of the event (a world championship) meant that athletes likely performed to their maximal capacity, from both a mental and physical perspective.

Gender difference in total performance time increases with age

Paragraph 16. The results suggested that the best age for the fastest Ironman total performance time is comprised between 25 and 39 yrs for both men and women. This was also true for cycling and running performance, where men and women over the 25-39 yrs age range were faster compared to younger and older age groups. In contrast, the swimming performance times for both men and women remained quite similar between a larger range of years i.e. between 18 and 44 yrs. It has been suggested that the age-related decrease in

maximal oxygen uptake seems to be the most clear and consistent contributor to the decline in endurance performance with advancing age (31). Reductions in lactate threshold may also contribute, whereas submaximal exercise economy seems preserved with ageing in endurance athletes (31). Our results showed that gender difference in total event performance time increased significantly with advancing age from 55 yrs. Men triathletes at the age of 60 yrs were on average 27% slower than the 30-40 yrs old triathletes, while the difference reached 38% for women. The exact reasons for these sex-related differences are not clear but could be due to a greater decline of one or more physiological determinants of endurance performance for women compared to men (e.g. maximal oxygen uptake, lactate threshold, and exercise economy). Even if one previous study (8) suggested that the relative rates of decline in maximal oxygen consumption with age are similar between men and women, age-related changes in the physiological determinants of endurance and ultra-endurance performances between men and women have not received considerable attention.

Paragraph 17. However, interpretation of such cross-sectional comparisons of triathlon performance times across ages and sexes must be made carefully. It is possible that non-physiological factors may have contributed to these observations. For example, the widening of gender difference with advancing age could be due at least in part to the fewer number of women triathletes in the older age groups. The percent of women participating at the Hawaii Ironman triathlon during the studied period corresponded on average to 27%, but "finisher" women in the age group 60-64 yrs were usually less than twenty. This participation difference will no doubt diminish over the next couple of decades (as the % of women participating to Hawaii was only 10-15% in the 90s, http://ironman.com/events/ironman). As a result, triathlon performances of the oldest women will probably improve more rapidly than those of the oldest men, as the new generation of well-trained young women athletes moves into older age group competition.

Paragraph 18. It is well established that the reduction in training volume and intensity may contribute to the larger declines in endurance performance of the elderly athletes (5, 21). Some studies of older endurance athletes have indicated that the training volume of master athletes often is up to 50% lower compared to their younger peers (5, 21). Differences in terms of years of training, training volume and intensity between elderly men and women triathletes performing Ironman triathlon may exist but further work is required to clarify this. It would also require long-term follow up of training diaries for all participants.

Gender difference differs between locomotion modes

Paragraph 19. For 3.8-km swimming the magnitude in gender difference over the 18-64 yrs age range was ~12% in performance time and ~28% in estimated power output. These values are in agreement with previous studies that examined long-distance swimming performance (14). Tanaka & Seals (29) examined gender differences in swimming performance time for distances from 50 to 1500 m, and found that gender difference became smaller as swim distance increased ranging from ~ 19% for 50-m to ~ 11% for 1500-m freestyle event. Because swimming is the first discipline of triathlon and therefore triathletes perform it in a relatively non fatigued state, in the future, it would be interesting to compare gender difference in swimming performance during an Ironman distance triathlon with gender difference during a long distance swim race. Independently of age, gender difference in performance time was smaller for swimming compared to cycling and running. One explanation for these differences between locomotion modes is the higher economy and mechanical efficiency of swimming in women compared to men. In contrast to running where the oxygen cost appears to be similar between women and men (e.g. 18), the energy cost of freestyle swimming has been shown to be significantly higher (i.e. lower economy) in men

(19). The higher mechanical efficiency of women has been attributed to smaller body size (resulting in smaller body drag), smaller body density (greater fat percent), and shorter lower limbs, resulting in a more horizontal and streamlined position and therefore a smaller underwater torque (12, 19).

Paragraph 20. For cycling, the gender difference over the 18-64 yrs age range was ~15% for performance time and ~39% for estimated power output. Compared to elite triathletes (14), these values are slightly greater for performance time (~13% in Lepers (14)) but in a similar range for estimated power output (~38% in Lepers (14)). However, power output gender difference in the present study might be underestimated because total elevation (~1400 m positive elevation over 180 km) is not accounted for in the cycling power model. There is a paucity of data in the literature concerning gender difference in cycling performance. In track cycling, where women are weaker than men in terms of power/weight ratios, the performance gap between men and women appeared constant (~11%), and independent of the race distance from 200 to 1000 m (23). Official time-trial road-cycling championships generally take place on distances much shorter than 180-km and distances are greater for men than for women. Greater muscle mass and aerobic capacity in men, even expressed relative to the lean body mass (e.g. 15), may represent an advantage during long distance cycling, especially on a relative flat course such as Ironman cycling, where cycling approximates a non weight-bearing sport. Indeed, it has been shown that absolute power output (which is greater for men than for women) is associated with successful cycling endurance performance, because the primary force inhibiting forward motion on a flat course is air resistance (17).

Paragraph 21. In running, gender difference over the 18-64 yrs age range was equal to ~18% in performance time and ~33% in estimated power output. The gender difference in performance time is greater than the difference found between elite men and women

triathletes at the same Ironman triathlon (13% in Lepers (14)) but is similar to the values observed by Tanaka & Seals (29) for a 10-km running in masters athletes. It may be problematic to compare gender difference in an Ironman triathlon marathon with running performance during a marathon alone. However, Lepers (14) showed that for the top 10 finishers the gender difference in running at the Hawaii Ironman marathon was similar to running at the New-York marathon (13%) suggesting that the swim and cycle portions of the triathlon do not exacerbate the gender difference in running. However, it could also be interesting in future to compare for the same athletes the personal best time in a marathon alone and the best performance time in Ironman triathlon marathon, in order to examine whether fatigue differs between genders during a marathon of an Ironman triathlon. Previous studies suggested that gender difference in running could diminish as distance increases past the marathon (e.g. 1). Possible physiological advantages for women over men in long distance running include greater fat utilization (and thus better carbohydrate conservation) (32), higher proportions of maximal oxygen uptake (26), or greater muscle fatigue resistance (9). However, recent analysis of ultramarathon running performance suggested that gender difference remained stable for distances ranging from 50-km to 161-km (6), with the fastest women running about 14-20% slower than the fastest men (3, 7). Morphological (body fatness) and physiological differences between sexes such as greater maximal oxygen uptake and oxygen carrying capacities (haemoglobin concentration) may partly explain the gender difference in distance running performance (15). In contrast, running economy and lactate threshold do not seem to differ between men and women (e.g. 26).

Paragraph 22. Gender difference in actual performance time was greater for running (~18%) compared to swimming (~12%) and cycling (~15%), but in contrast gender difference in estimated power output was greater for cycling (~39%) compared to swimming (~28%) and running (~33%). The calculation of power in swimming and cycling is accurate only if

the triathletes do not draft (i.e., swim or cycle behind another athlete). However, drafting during swimming was not controlled and therefore it might have influenced the assumed drag coefficient, thus invalidating the estimation of power output in swimming. At Hawaii Ironman, triathletes are not allowed to draft in cycling (drafting is consistently checked by marshals) suggesting that the estimated power output in cycling is not affected by this confounding variable. Power output in swimming and cycling is related to an exponential expression of velocity while it is directly related to velocity for running. A difference of 15% between men and women in cycling performance time (or velocity) does not therefore correspond to a difference of 15% in physiological capacity since power output in cycling depends on the third power of velocity. Because power output is proportional to oxygen uptake, the magnitude of gender difference in power output provides a more correct representation of underlying gender difference in physiological capacity (24).

Conclusion

Paragraph 23. The present study shows that the relative difference in performance time between women and men for an ultra-endurance event such an Ironman triathlon is stable until 55 yrs of age and then increases. The reasons for this increase are not clear and further studies examining in particular the changes in the training characteristics (volume, intensity) in elderly triathletes are required to better understand the age-related changes in Ironman triathlon performances. This study, conducted on amateur triathletes, also confirms previous findings obtained on elite triathletes showing that gender difference differs between the locomotion modes (14), i.e., gender difference for swimming performance being smaller compared to running and cycling. However, the magnitude of gender difference for swimming, cycling and running varies when performance time or estimated power output are

14

considered. Further investigations are required in order to analyse gender differences in performance time for ultra-endurance events of different modes of locomotion such as open-water ultra-swim, ultra- cycling and running events (e.g. 11), to see if gender differences change with length and nature of the endurance events.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Bam J, Noakes TD, Juritz J, Dennis SC. Could women outrun men in ultramarathon races? *Med Sci Sport Exerc.* 1997;29(2):244–7.

2. Bernard T, Sultana F, Lepers R, Hausswirth C, Brisswalter J Age related decline in Olympic triathlon performance: effect of locomotion mode. *Exp Aging Res.* 2010;36:1-15.

3. Coast JR, Blevins JS, Wilson BA. Do gender difference in running performance disappear with distance. *Can J Appl Physiol.* 2004;29:139-45.

4. Cheuvront SN, Carter III R., DeRuisseau KC, Moffart RJ. Running performance differences between men and women. An update. *Sports Med.* 2005;35:1017-24.

5. Fitzgerald MD, Tanaka H, Tran ZV, and Seals DR. Age related decline in maximal aerobic capacity in regularly exercising vs. sedentary females: a meta-analysis. *J Appl Physiol.* 1997;83:160–5.

6. Hoffman MD. Ultramarathon trail running comparison of performance-matched men and women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40(9):1681-6.

7. Hoffman MD. Performance trends in 161-km ultramarathons. *Int J Sports Med.* 2010;31(1):31-7.

8. Holloszy JO, Kohrt WM. Exercise. In: Bethesda, MD. *Handbook of Physiology. Aging.* Am. Physiol. Soc; 1995. p. 633–666.

9. Hunter SK, Critchlow A, Shin IS, Enoka RM. Men are more fatigable than strength-matched women when performing intermittent submaximal contractions. *J Appl Physiol.* 2004;96(6):2125-32.

10. Joyner MJ. Physiological limiting factors and distance running: influence of gender and age on record performances. In: *Exercise and Sport Science Reviews*, Holloszy JO, editors. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore MD, 1993. p. 103–133.

11. Knechtle B, Kohler G. Running performance, not anthropometric factors, is associated with race success in a Triple Iron Triathlon. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43(6):437-41.

12. Lavoie JM, Montpetit RR. Applied physiology of swimming. *Sports Med.* 1986;3:165-89.

13. Lepers R., Sultana F., Bernard T., Hausswirth C., Brisswalter J. Age-related changes in triathlon performances. *Int J Sports Med.* 2010 Feb 17. [Epub ahead of print]

14. Lepers R. Analysis of Hawaii ironman performances in elite triathletes from 1981 to 2007. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40:1828-34.

15. Levis DA, Kamon E, Hodgson JL. Physiological differences between genders. Implications for sports conditioning. *Sports Med.* 1986;3:357-69.

16. Leyk D, Erley O, Ridder D, Leurs M, Rüther T, Wunderlich M, Sievert A, Baum K, Essfeld D. Age-related changes in marathon and half-marathon performances. *Int J Sports Med.* 2007;28(6):513-7.

17. Lucia A, Joyos H, Chicharro JL. Physiological response to professional road cycling: climbers *vs* time trialists. *Int J Sports Med.* 2000;21:505-12.

18. Pate RR, Sparling PB, Wilson GE, Cureton KJ, Miller BJ. Cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses to submaximal and maximal exercise in elite women distance runners. *Int J Sports Med.* 1987;8:91-5.

19. Pendergast DR, Di Prampero PE, Craig AB, Wilson DR, Rennie DW. Quantitative analysis of the front crawl in men and women. *J Appl Physiol.* 1977;43:475-79.

20. Phillips SK, Rook KM, Siddle NC, Bruce SA, Woledge RC. Muscle weakness in women occurs at an earlier age than in men, but strength is preserved by hormone replacement therapy. *Clin Sci (Lond).* 1993;84(1):95-8.

21. Pollock ML, Mengelkoch LJ, Graves JE, Lowenthal DT, Limacher MC, Foster C, and Wilmore JH. Twenty-year follow-up of aerobic power and body composition of older track athletes. *J Appl Physiol.* 1997;82:1508–16.

22. Samson MM, Meeuwsen IB, Crowe A, Dessens JA, Duursma SA, Verhaar HJ. Relationships between physical performance measures, age, height and body weight in healthy adults. *Age Ageing.* 2000;29(3):235-42.

23. Schumacher YO, Mueller P, Keul J. Development of peak performance in track cycling. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2001;41:139-46.

24. Seiler S, D Koning JJ, Foster C. The fall and rise of the gender difference in elite anaerobic performance 1952-2006. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39:534-40.

25. Sparkling PB, O'Donnell EM, Snow TK. The gender difference in distance running performance has plateaued: an analysis of world rankings from 1980 to 1996. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998;30:1725-29.

26. Speechly DP, Taylor SR, Rogers GG. Differences in ultraendurance exercise in performance-matched male and female runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1996;28(3):359-65.

27. Stefani RT. The relative power out put and relative lean body mass of World and Olympic male and female champions with implications for gender equity. *J Sports Sci.* 2006;24:1329-39.

28. Sultana F, Brisswalter J, Lepers R, Hausswirth C, Bernard T. Effects of age and gender on Olympic triathlon performances. *Science & Sports* 2008;23:130-35.

29. Tanaka H, Seals DR. Age and gender interactions in physiological functional capacity: insight from swimming performance. *J Appl Physiol.* 1997;82:846-85.

30. Tanaka H, Seals DR. Invited Review: Dynamic exercise performance in masters athletes: insight into effects of primary human aging on physiological functional capacity. *J Appl Physiol.* 2003;95:2152-62.

31. Tanaka H, Seals DR. Endurance exercise performance in Masters athletes: age-associated changes and underlying physiological mechanisms. *J Physiol.* 2008;586(1):55-63.

32. Venables MC, Achten J, Jeukendrup AE. Determinants of fat oxidation during exercise in healthy men and women: a crosssectional study. *J Appl Physiol.* 2005;98:160-7.

LEGENDS

Figure 1

Swimming, cycling, running, and total performance times for the top 10 men and women in each age group at the Hawaii Ironman triathlon (2006, 2007, 2008 pooled data). Values are mean $\pm$ SD.

Figure 2

Averaged gender difference in time for total event at the Hawaii Ironman Triathlon (2006, 2007, 2008 pool data). Values are means $\pm$ 95% CI.

** : Significantly different from all age groups from 20-24 to 50-55 yrs, $P < 0.01$

$: Significantly different from age group 55-59 yrs, $P < 0.05$

Figure 3

Panel A: Averaged gender difference in time performance for swimming, cycling, running, and total event across all age groups (18-24 to 60-64 yrs). Values are means $\pm$ 95% CI.

$: Significantly different from cycle, $P < 0.05$

** : Significantly different from swim, $P < 0.01$

Panel B: Averaged gender difference in estimated power output for swimming, cycling, and running across all age groups (18-24 to 60-64 yrs). Values are means $\pm$ 95% CI.

** : Significant difference, $P < 0.01$

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3